EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X	
BRIAN BURKE,		
	Plaintiff,	Docket No. 15 CV 1481 (ENV)(LB)
-against-		
NEW YORK CITY TRANSIT AUTHORIT	TY, et al.,	
	Defendants.	
	X	

INITED OF ATEC DISTRICT COLIDT

# NOTICE TO *PRO SE* LITIGANT WHO OPPOSES RULE 12 MOTION SUPPORTED BY MATTERS OUTSIDE THE PLEADINGS

The defendants in this case have moved to dismiss or for judgment on the pleadings pursuant to Rule 12(b) or 12(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and have submitted additional written materials. This means that the defendants have asked the court to decide this case without a trial, based on these written materials. You are warned that the Court may treat this motion as a motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. For this reason, THE CLAIMS YOU ASSERT IN YOUR COMPLAINT MAY BE DISMISSED WITHOUT A TRIAL IF YOU DO NOT RESPOND TO THIS MOTION ON TIME by filing sworn affidavits as required by Rule 56(c) and/or other documents. The full text of Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is attached.

In short, Rule 56 provides that you may NOT oppose the defendants' motion simply by relying upon the allegations in your complaint. Rather, you must submit evidence, such as witness statements or documents, countering the facts asserted by the defendants and raising specific facts that support your claim. If you have proof of your claim, now is the time to submit it. Any witness statements must be in the form of affidavits. An affidavit is a sworn statement

of fact based on personal knowledge stating facts that would be admissible in evidence at trial. You may submit your own affidavit and/or the affidavits of others. You may submit affidavits that were prepared specifically in response to defendants' motion.

If you do not respond to the motion on time with affidavits and/or documents contradicting the facts asserted by the defendants, the Court may accept defendants' facts as true. Your case may be dismissed and judgment may be entered in defendants' favor without a trial.

If you have any questions, you may direct them to the Pro Se Office.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York March 3, 2017

> JAMES B. HENLY Vice-President and General Counsel NEW YORK CITY TRANSIT AUTHORITY Attorney for Defendants 130 Livingston Street, Room 1209 Brooklyn, New York 11201

718-694-3686

Daniel Cl

To: BRIAN BURKE, pro se 145 East 23<sup>rd</sup> Street, Apt. 4R New York, New York 10010 646-434-8513 Case 1:15-cv-01481-ENV-LB Document 44 Filed 04/28/17 Page 3 of 5 PageID #: 786 Rule 56 JUDGMENT

Rules of rict court the final

· attorney fter entry a parallel nder Rule

ie general stood and les. These

rom Rule ; by class nended to torney-fee Lolland

2,25 0,470

costs on 1 ew 14-day sent a reeview the the Rule 25000

WY BERTH 1, effective eferring o at Grant's

lir (aball

n a judgplead or fidavit or .b. boronan

2 382 0

TOTAL LICE

or a sum computawith an iter judgdefendant nd who is

rafill except arty must A default · incompeguardian, appeared. Igment is sentative rved with iys before s or make

referrals—preserving any federal statutory right to a jury trial-when, to enter or effectuate judgment, it needs to:

- (A) conduct an accounting;
- (B) determine the amount of damages;
- (C) establish the truth of any allegation by evidence; or
- (D) investigate any other matter.
- (c) Setting Aside a Default or a Default Judgment. The court may set aside an entry of default for good cause, and it may set aside a final default judgment under Rule 60(b).
- (d) Judgment Against the United States. A default judgment may be entered against the United States, its officers, or its agencies only if the claimant establishes a claim or right to relief by evidence that satisfies the court.

(Amended March 2, 1987, effective August 1, 1987; April 30, 2007, effective December 1, 2007; March 26, 2009, effective December 1, 2009; April 29, 2015, effective December 1, 2015.)

# ADVISORY COMMITTEE NOTES

#### 1937 Adoption

This represents the joining of the equity decree pro confesso (former Equity Rules 12 (Issue of Subpoena—Time for Answer), 16 (Defendant to Answer—Default—Decree Pro Confesso), 17 (Decree Pro Confesso to be Followed by Final Decree-Setting Aside Default), 29 (Defenses-How Presented), 31 (Reply-When Required-When Cause at Issue)) and the judgment by default now governed by U.S.C., Title 28, [former] § 724 (Conformity act). For dismissal of an action for failure to comply with these rules or any order of the court, see Rule 41(b).

Note to Subdivision (a). The provision for the entry of default comes from the Massachusetts practice, 2 Mass.Gen.Laws (Ter.Ed., 1932) ch. 231, § 57. For affidavit of default, see 2 Minn.Stat. (Mason,

Note to Subdivision (b). The provision in paragraph (1) for the entry of judgment by the clerk when plaintiff claims a sum certain is found in the N.Y.C.P.A. (1937) § 485, in Calif.Code Civ.Proc. (Deering, 1937) § 585(1), and in Conn Practice Book (1934) § 47. For provisions similar to paragraph (2), compare Calif.Code, supra, § 585(2); N.Y.C.P.A. (1937) § 490; 2 Minn.Stat. (Mason, 1927) § 9256(3); 2 Wash.Rev.Stat.Ann. (Remington, 1932) § 411(2). U.S.C., Title 28, § 1874, formerly § 785 (Action to recover forfeiture in bond) and similar statutes are preserved by the last clause of paragraph (2).

Note to Subdivision (e). This restates substantially the last clause of U.S.C., Title 28, [former] § 763 (Action against the United States under the Tucker Act). As this rule governs in all actions against the United States, U.S.C., Title 28, [former] § 45 (Practice and procedure in certain cases under the interstate commerce laws) and similar statutes are modified insofar as they contain anything inconsistent understand the affine of the orall carbon with the president

### Supplementary Note branching explanation of the second control of

Note. The operation of Rule 55(b) (Judgment) is directly affected by the Soldiers' and Sailors' Civil Relief Act of 1940, 50 U.S.C. Appendix, § 501 et seq. Section 200 of the Act [50 U.S.C. Appendix, § 520] imposes specific requirements which must be fulfilled before a default judgment can be entered, e.g., Ledwith v. Storkan, D.Neb.1942, 6 Fed.Rules Serv. 60b.24, Case 2, 2 F.R.D. 539, and also provides for the vacation of a judgment in certain circumstances. See discussion in Commentary, Effect of Conscription Legislation on the Federal Rules, 1940, 3 Fed.Rules Serv. 725; 3 Moore's Federal Practice, 1938, Cum Supplement § 55.02.

#### 1987 Amendment

The amendments are technical. No substantive change is intended.

#### 2007 Amendment

The language of Rule 55 has been amended as part of the general restyling of the Civil Rules to make them more easily understood and to make style and terminology consistent throughout the rules. These changes are intended to be stylistic only.

Former Rule 55(a) directed the clerk to enter a default when a party failed to plead or otherwise defend "as provided by these rules." The implication from the reference to defending "as provided by these rules" seemed to be that the clerk should enter a default even if a party did something showing an intent to defend, but that act was not specifically described by the rules. Courts in fact have rejected that implication. Acts that show an intent to defend have frequently prevented a default even though not connected to any particular rule. "[A]s provided by these rules" is deleted to reflect Rule 55(a)'s actual meaning.

Amended Rule 55 omits former Rule 55(d), which included two provisions. The first recognized that Rule 55 applies to described claimants. The list was incomplete and unnecessary. Rule 55(a) applies Rule 55 to any party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is requested. The second provision was a redundant reminder that Rule 54(c) limits the relief available by default judgment. 2009 Amendment

The time set in the former rule at 3 days has been revised to 7 days. เมื่องเลา และการเกิด ก็ได้เลา (aite และการเกิดก็จากเลา เกิด See the Note to Rule 6.

#### an amount of the man 2015 Amendment and the Date and all this cond.

Rule 55(c) is amended to make plain the interplay between Rules 54(b), 55(c), and 60(b). A default judgment that does not dispose of all of the claims among all parties is not a final judgment unless the court directs entry of final judgment under Rule 54(b). Until final judgment is entered, Rule 54(b) allows revision of the default judgment at any time. The demanding standards set by Rule 60(b) apply only in seeking relief from a final judgment. of \$20 structure their food mulispended for only possing intelligence

### Rule 56. Summary Judgment and the Branch of the state of

- (a) Motion for Summary Judgment or Partial Summary Judgment. A party may move for summary judgment, identifying each claim or defense—or the part of each claim or defense—on which summary judgment is sought. The court shall grant summary judgment if the movant shows that there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact and the movant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. The court should state on the record the reasons for granting or denying the motion. The control of the control o
- (b) Time to File a Motion. Unless a different time is set by local rule or the court orders otherwise, a party may file a motion for summary judgment at any time until 30 days after the close of all discovery. (c) Procedures.
- - (1) Supporting Factual Positions. A party asserting that a fact cannot be or is genuinely disputed must Support the assertion by: (4) and the incubit A. (d)
- (A) citing to particular parts of materials in the record, depositions, documents, electronically and another stored information, affidavits or declarations, stipu-

### 

Rule 56

ant.

RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

lations (including those made for purposes of the motion only), admissions, interrogatory answers, or other materials; or

- (B) showing that the materials cited do not establish the absence or presence of a genuine dispute, or that an adverse party cannot produce admissible evidence to support the fact.
- (2) Objection That a Fact Is Not Supported by Admissible Evidence. A party may object that the material cited to support or dispute a fact cannot be presented 2494 in a form that would be admissible in evidence.
- (3) Materials Not Cited. The court need consider only the cited materials, but it may consider other materials in the record.
- (4) Affidavits or Declarations. An affidavit or declaration used to support or oppose a motion must be made on personal knowledge, set out facts that would be admissible in evidence, and show that the affiant or herlita declarant is competent to testify on the matters stated.
- (d) When Facts Are Unavailable to the Nonmovant. If a nonmovant shows by affidavit or declaration that, for specified reasons, it cannot present facts essential to justify its opposition, the court may:
- (1) defer considering the motion or deny it;
  - (2) allow time to obtain affidavits or declarations or to take discovery; or
- (3) issue any other appropriate order.
- (e) Failing to Properly Support or Address a Fact. If a party fails to properly support an assertion of fact or fails to properly address another party's assertion of fact as required by Rule 56(c), the court may:
  - (1) give an opportunity to properly support or address the fact; and Pelocon and Anonythin hear a more unity se
- (2) consider the fact undisputed for purposes of the mo-(D.D.C.19tion; Supermiss of Indiana Information Learning Co. 3111).
- (3) grant summary judgment if the motion and supporting materials—including the facts considered undisputed show that the movant is entitled to it; or
  - (4) issue any other appropriate order.
- (f) Judgment Independent of the Motion. After giving notice and a reasonable time to respond, the court may:
- (1) grant summary judgment for a nonmovant; (2) grant the motion on grounds not raised by a party; or
- (3) consider summary judgment on its own after identifying for the parties material facts that may not be genuinely in dispute.
- (g) Failing to Grant All the Requested Relief. If the court does not grant all the relief requested by the motion, it may enter an order stating any material fact—including an item of damages or other relief—that is not genuinely in dispute and treating the fact as established in the case.
- (h) Affidavit or Declaration Submitted in Bad Faith. If satisfied that an affidavit or declaration under this rule is submitted in bad faith or solely for delay, the court—after notice and a reasonable time to respond-may order the

submitting party to pay the other party the reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, it incurred as a result. An offending party or attorney may also be held in contempt or subjected to other appropriate sanctions.

(Amended December 27, 1946, effective March 19, 1948; January 21 1963, effective July 1, 1963; March 2, 1987, effective August 1, 1987, April 30, 2007, effective December 1, 2007; March 26, 2009, effective December 1, 2009; April 28, 2010, effective December 1, 2010.)

### ADVISORY COMMITTEE NOTES

## 1937 Adoption

This rule is applicable to all actions, including those against the United States or an officer or agency thereof.

Summary judgment procedure is a method for promptly disposing of actions in which there is no genuine issue as to any material fact. It has been extensively used in England for more than 50 years and has been adopted in a number of American states. New York, for example, has made great use of it. During the first nine years after its adoption there, the records of New York county alone show 5,600 applications for summary judgments. Report of the Commission on the Administration of Justice in New York State (1934), p. 383. See also Third Annual Report of the Judicial Council of the State of New York (1937),

In England it was first employed only in cases of liquidated claims, but there has been a steady enlargement of the scope of the remedy until it is now used in actions to recover land or chattels and in all other actions at law, for liquidated or unliquidated claims, except for a few designated torts and breach of promise of marriage. English Rules Under the Judicature Act (The Annual Practice, 1937) O. 3, r. 6; Orders 14, 14A, and 15; see also O. 32, r. 6, authorizing an application for judgment at any time upon admissions. In Michigan (3 Comp. Laws (1929) § 14260) and Illinois (Smith-Hurd Ill. Stats. c. 110, §§ 181, 259.15, 259.16), it is not limited to liquidated demands. New York (N.Y.R.C.P. (1937) Rule 113; see also Rule 107) has brought so many classes of actions under the operation of the rule that the Commission on Administration of Justice in New York State (1934) recommend that all restrictions be removed and that the remedy be available "in any action" (p. 287). For the history and nature of the summary judgment procedure and citations of state statutes, see Clark and Samenow, The Summary Judgment (1929), 38 Yale L.J. 423.

Note to Subdivision (d). See Rule 16 (Pre-Trial Procedure; For-

mulating Issues) and the Note thereto.

Note to Subdivisions (e) and (f). These are similar to rules in Michigan. Mich.Court Rules Ann. (Searl, 1933) Rule 30.

#### 1946 Amendment

Note to Subdivision (a). The amendment allows a claimant to move for a summary judgment at any time after the expiration of 20 days from the commencement of the action or after service of a motion for summary judgment by the adverse party. This will normally operate to permit an earlier motion by the claimant than under the original rule, where the phrase "at any time after the pleading in answer thereto has been served" operates to prevent a claimant from moving for summary judgment, even in a case clearly proper for its exercise, until a formal answer has been filed. Thus in Peoples Bank v. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco, N.D.Cal.1944, 58 F.Supp. 25, the plaintiff's countermotion for a summary judgment was stricken as premature, because the defendant had not filed an answer. Since Rule 12(a) allows at least 20 days for an answer, that time plus the 10 days required in Rule 56(c) means that under original Rule 56(a) a minimum period of 30 days necessarily has to elapse in every case before the claimant can be heard on his right to a summary judgment. An extension of time by the court or the service of preliminary motions of any kind will prolong that period even further. In many cases this

merely rex Creamery, interest of gives the d course of a motion for restrict the

Subdivis

the final se Natural Ge also Comm Rules Serv ber Co., C. 1201, 325 U question of judgment r a proper ca as provided recovery de and the pre Subdivis cree and " Rule 56 ind is not a fina in the parti tory order pretrial adj the trial of preliminary speeding up is no genui C.C.A.7, 19 1946, 154 Since interl provided b interpretati Oil Co., sup 1943, 136 F D.C. 77; E States, 1945

> Subdivisi are included for summar the rule, so 159-60 (Wr interpretation the amended Subdivisi

in the third

of subdivision

The last t in the Thire judgment de motion for s sufficient to The advers evidentiary there is a g averments of this situatio judgment m and not sup Co., Inc. v.

States ex re

#### AMENDED CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

I, Daniel Chiu, hereby certify that:

On March 6, 2017, I served the annexed Notice to *Pro Se* Litigant who Opposes Rule 12 Motion Supported by Matters Outside the Pleadings by causing a true and correct copy, to be deposited into the custody of United States Postal Service, in an enclosed envelope with sufficient postage for first-class mail addressed to:

BRIAN BURKE, *pro se* 145 East 23<sup>rd</sup> Street, Apt. 4R New York, New York 10010

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York April 20, 2017

Daniel Chiu